МРНТИ 06.73.75

JEL: G21, G32, O33

DOI: https://doi.org/10.58732/2958-7212-2025-1-6-17



## The impact of the development of financial technologies on bank risks

## Ulagat Kanen<sup>1\*</sup>, Aisulu Dzhanegizova<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan

#### **Abstract**

Today, the development of financial technologies (hereinafter referred – FinTech) has a transformative impact on the banking sector, creating both new opportunities and risks. The purpose of this study is to assess the impact of FinTech development on banking risks, in particular on the share of non-performing loans (NPL Ratio) as a key indicator of credit risk. Based on panel data for 2019-2023. A multiple regression analysis was performed, including banking indicators such as the capital adequacy ratio, liquidity coverage ratio, loan-to-deposit ratio, as well as macroeconomic indicators (GDP growth and unemployment rate). Empirical results have shown that the introduction of FinTech significantly reduces the proportion of nonperforming loans, reflecting improved credit risk management through improved data analytics, borrower assessment, and business process optimization. The ratio of loans to deposits has a statistically significant negative relationship with the NPL index ( $\beta = -0.0308$ , p = 0.027), which underlines the importance of a balanced credit policy. At the same time, macroeconomic factors, including GDP growth and unemployment, did not have a statistically significant impact. The findings confirm the potential of FinTech in reducing traditional banking risks while simultaneously facing new regulatory and operational challenges, which is important to consider when developing strategies to ensure financial stability in the context of digitalization. This research contributes to a growing number of scientific papers devoted to the transformational role of FinTech in the banking industry.

**Keywords:** fintech, bank, banking sector, unemployment, social sustainability, social responsibility, financial constraints, financial inclusion, sustainable development

# **Каржы технологияларын дамытудың мыналарға әсері банктік тәуекелдер**

## **Канен Ұ.1\***, Джанегизова А.1

 $^{1}$ әл- $\Phi$ араби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті, Алматы, Қазақстан

#### Түйін

Бүгінгі таңда қаржы технологияларын дамыту (бұдан әрі-FinTech) жаңа мумкіндіктер мен тәуекелдерді қалыптастыра отырып, банк секторына трансформациялық әсер етеді. Бұл зерттеудің мақсаты FinTech дамуының банктік тәуекелдерге, атап айтқанда несиелік тәуекелдің негізгі индикаторы ретінде жұмыс істемейтін несиелер үлесінің көрсеткішіне (NPL Ratio) әсерін бағалау болып табылады. 2019-2023 жылдардағы панельдік деректер негізінде капиталдың жеткіліктілік коэффициенті, өтімділікті жабу коэффициенті, несиелердің депозиттерге қатынасы, сондай-ақ макроэкономикалық индикаторлар (ЖІӨ өсуі және жұмыссыздық деңгейі) сияқты банктік көрсеткіштерді қамтитын көптік регрессиялық талдау жүргізілді. Эмпирикалық нәтижелер FinTech-ті енгізу жұмыс істемейтін несиелердің үлесін айтарлықтай төмендететінін көрсетті, бұл жақсартылған деректерді талдау, қарыз алушыларды бағалау және бизнес-процестерді оңтайландыру арқылы несиелік тәуекелдерді басқару тиімділігінің артуын көрсетеді. Несиелердің депозиттерге қатынасы NPL ( $\beta = -0.0308$ , p = 0.027) көрсеткішімен статистикалық маңызды теріс байланысқа ие, бұл өлшенген несие саясатының маңыздылығын көрсетеді. Бұл ретте макроэкономикалық факторлар, оның ішінде ЖІӨ өсуі мен жұмыссыздық статистикалық маңызды әсер еткен жоқ. Нәтижелер FinTech-тің жаңа реттеуші және операциялық сын-қатерлер туындаған кезде дәстүрлі банктік тәуекелдерді төмендету әлеуетін растайды, бұл цифрландыру жағдайында қаржылық тұрақтылықты қамтамасыз ету стратегияларын әзірлеу кезінде ескеру қажет. Данное исследование вносит вклад в растущее количество научных работ, посвященных трансформационной роли FinTech в банковской индустрии.

**Кілттік сөздері:** финтех, банк, банк секторы, жұмыссыздық, әлеуметтік тұрақтылық, әлеуметтік жауапкершілік, қаржылық шектеулер, қаржылық инклюзия, тұрақты даму

## Влияние развития финансовых технологий на банковские риски

## **Канен У.** 1\*, Джанегизова А.1

<sup>1</sup> Казахский национальный университет им. аль-Фараби Казахстан, Алматы, Казахстан

#### Аннотация

Сегодня развитие финансовых технологий (далее – FinTech) оказывает трансформирующее воздействие на банковский сектор, формируя как новые возможности, так и риски. Целью данного исследования является провести оценку уровня влияния развития FinTech на банковские риски, в частности на показатель доли неработающих кредитов (NPL Ratio) как ключевого индикатора кредитного риска. На основе панельных данных за 2019-2023 гг. был проведён множественный регрессионный анализ с включением банковских показателей, таких как коэффициента достаточности капитала, коэффициента покрытия ликвидности, отношения кредитов к депозитам, а также макроэкономических индикаторов (рост ВВП и уровень безработицы). Эмпирические результаты показали, что внедрение FinTech существенно снижает долю неработающих кредитов, что отражает повышение эффективности управления кредитным риском за счёт улучшенной аналитики данных, оценки заёмщиков и оптимизации бизнес-процессов. Отношение кредитов к депозитам имеет статистически значимую отрицательную связь с показателем NPL ( $\beta = -0.0308$ , p = 0.027), что подчёркивает важность взвешенной кредитной политики. При этом макроэкономические факторы, включая рост ВВП и безработицу, статистически значимого влияния не оказали. Полученные выводы подтверждают потенциал FinTech в снижении традиционных банковских рисков при одновременном возникновении новых регуляторных и операционных вызовов, что важно учитывать при разработке стратегий обеспечения финансовой стабильности в условиях цифровизации. Данное исследование вносит вклад в растущее количество научных работ, посвященных трансформационной роли FinTech в банковской индустрии.

**Ключевые слова:** финтех, банк, банковский сектор, безработица, социальная устойчивость, социальная ответственность, финансовые ограничения, финансовая инклюзия, устойчивое развитие

#### Introduction

Fintech is the key to enabling banks to innovate and reform, it is booming at an unprecedented speed. FinTech refers to emerging business models, new technology applications, and new product services that are driven by emerging and cutting-edge technologies such as big data, blockchain, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence and have a significant impact on the financial market and the supply of financial services [1]. With the continuous advancement of information technology, FinTech companies have emerged in large numbers. With their innovative technologies and business models, they have provided financial consumers with more convenient, efficient, and personalized financial services.

As a core component of the financial system, the banking industry has inevitably been profoundly impacted by the development of FinTech. On the one hand, FinTech has brought new opportunities to banks, such as expanding customer groups through digital channels, optimizing business processes to improve operational efficiency, and using big data and artificial intelligence for precision marketing and risk assessment. On the other hand, the development of FinTech has also brought a series of new risk challenges to banks. As the degree of bank digitisation increases, network security risks have become increasingly prominent. Once suffering from hacker attacks or data - breaches, banks will face huge reputation losses and financial risks; the rapid innovation of FinTech makes it difficult for banks' traditional risk management models to adapt to new business forms, the risk characteristics of emerging businesses such as robo - advisors and online lending are quite different from those of traditional bank businesses; in addition, the competitive and cooperative relationship between FinTech companies and banks is constantly evolving, which may affect the bank's market share and profitability, and thus indirectly affect the bank's risk status [2].

From a theoretical perspective, in-depth research on the impact of the development of FinTech on bank risks is conducive to expanding and deepening the theory of financial risk management. The traditional bank risk management theory is mainly based on the deposit - lending business and credit risks of banks. However, the emergence of FinTech has changed the business model and risk characteristics of banks, and new theoretical frameworks and analysis methods are needed to explain and evaluate bank risks [3]. Through this research, the theoretical system of the relationship between FinTech and bank risks will be further enriched and improved, providing references for subsequent research.

#### **Literature Review**

Regarding the impact of the development of financial technology on commercial banks, existing research mainly includes two different perspectives: the competitive effect and the enabling effect of financial technology. The type of research focuses on the competitive effect of financial technology but does not clearly distinguish the essential differences between Internet finance and financial technology. Since Internet finance does not substantially change the financial business itself but only expands the sales channels of financial products, it mainly shows a competitive effect on traditional

finance. Specifically, technology giants take advantage of their own online channels to expand their financial business and sales channels, squeezing the market share and deposit-loan spreads of traditional banks' offline deposit and loan business. Qiu Han et al. explored the impact of Internet finance on traditional banking business with the help of the Peking University Digital Inclusive Finance Index and found that the development of Internet finance makes banks more dependent on higher-cost wholesale financing [4]. Gu Haifeng and Yang Lixiang constructed an Internet finance index with the help of the media attention index [5]. They showed that Internet finance intensifies the operational risks of banks, showing a threshold effect of increasing marginality. Zhan Minghua et al. [6] selected third-party payment data as a proxy variable. They confirmed that Internet finance makes the bank's liability structure more dependent on higher-risk wealth management products. The main conclusion of this type of research is that the development of Internet finance has expanded the risk exposure faced by banks. Still, the perspective from external Internet finance does not explore the exploration of financial technology by commercial banks themselves.

In practice, this research has important practical significance. For banks, accurately identifying and assessing the risks brought by the development of FinTech helps banks formulate scientific and reasonable risk management strategies, improve the ability to prevent risks, and ensure the sound operation of banks. Regulatory agencies also develop more effective regulatory policies based on the research results to guide the healthy development of FinTech in the banking industry and prevent the occurrence of systemic financial risks. In addition, investors and the public better understand the risk situation faced by banks in the FinTech era and make wiser investment decisions and financial choices.

As the leading part of the financial system, the risk prevention and control of the modern banking industry is an important part of financial risk management. The development of FinTech has proposed a brand-new solution for the risk prevention and control of banks in our country. It generally refers to technology-driven financial innovation and is an important means for commercial banks to reduce information asymmetry, reshape business processes, enhance risk management capabilities and ultimately improve operating efficiency. FinTech is a new weapon for preventing and resolving financial risks. At the present stage, FinTech has become an important starting point for the digital transformation of banks and will significantly change the risk exposure faced by banks. It is an important financial risk management tool [7]. The application of FinTech has broadened the "data surface" of bank credit review and made it possible for information assets to become substitutes for collateral. It plays an essential role in identifying financial fraud, reducing the cost of risk assessment, and enhancing transaction security. In practice, taking the FinTech product "Rongan e - letter" of Industrial and Commercial Bank of China as an example, this technology-enabled platform aggregates all kinds of risk information, such as judicial documents publicly available in accordance with the law, persons subject to enforcement for breach of trust, and administrative penalties for industry and commerce. It realises intelligent risk antifraud relying on user-profiling technology. However, in theory, due to the poor availability of FinTech measurement indicators, existing research rarely involves the role of FinTech in enabling banks' risk management. Because of this, this paper uses textmining technology to measure the development index of FinTech and explores the role and economic mechanism of the development of FinTech in reducing the bank's risktaking level from the theoretical level, filling the gap in the existing literature. In the context of the continuous advancement of digital transformation, the research in this paper has important enlightening significance for promoting the development of FinTech and preventing and resolving significant risks. The construction of FinTech indicators is the key to examining the impact of FinTech development on bank risks. However, unfortunately, most existing studies measure the development level of FinTech from the aspects of Internet finance, the media's attention to FinTech, and different models of banks' FinTech business, with limitations such as high data noise and insufficient indicator coverage. Since most of the financial technology innovations in our country are led by technology - based enterprises rather than banks, and the innovation capabilities of banks are primarily concentrated in the location of their headquarters. Based on the above considerations, this paper selects the number of FinTech-related patent outputs in the cities where the bank headquarters are located as a proxy variable for the development level of urban FinTech. This paper measures the development level of FinTech at the city level by means of the number of search results of FinTech - related patents, which is not only close to the technical essence of FinTech but also comprehensively covers the development model of FinTech [8]. It provides data support for the quantitative investigation of the impact of FinTech development on bank risks and subsequent research related to FinTech. After obtaining the index of the development level of FinTech, this paper conducts an empirical regression test with the annual data of the selected banks to explore the impact of the development of FinTech on bank risks.

This paper finds that the empowerment of banks by FinTech signifitly reduce their risk levels. This empirical finding is, to a certain extent, troubled by endogeneity problems such as reverse causality and omitted variables. In the regression analysis, the fixed effects of banks and years are constantly added to control the unobservable factors that do not change over time at the bank level and the impact of macro - economic fluctuations in different years [9]. Moreover, this paper also refers to the measurement method of the FinTech media attention index by Li Chuntao et al, and constructs the number of Baidu news related to FinTech as an instrumental variable to solve the potential endogeneity problem. Based on meeting the correlation and exogeneity constraints of the instrumental variable, the main conclusion remains unchanged. The main research conclusion remains unchanged after a series of robustness tests. The mechanism analysis shows that alleviating information asymmetry, promoting the marginal expansion of business, and enhancing the ability to respond to risks are the channels through which FinTech empowers banks and reduces risks [10]. FinTech alleviates the information asymmetry problem faced in the bank's business activities and reduces the risk of credit business and the risk of business expansion. FinTech promotes the development of the bank's retail business, which has a positive impact on the risk diversification of the bank's asset side. The development of FinTech empowers banks to improve their ability to respond to risks, to effectively cope with the impact of the uncertainty of macro-economic policies and the uncertainty of the urban business environment [11]. The contributions of this paper are as follows: , on the basis of the existing literature, this paper continues to innovate the urban FinTech development index and uses text - analysis technology to accurately measure the urban FinTech development ability from a more microscopic patent level. The conclusion explores the sub - reasons for the different risk levels of banks and provides ideological inspiration for banks to apply FinTech and empower risk management, which is of great significe for the sound development of the banking industry using FinTech.

Financial technology helps commercial banks alleviate the problem of information asymmetry. Financial technology transforms traditional "soft information" such as user behavior into "hard information", analyze multimodal heterogeneous data using advanced technologies, enhance the bank's credit granting, pre-loan review, and post-loan supervision capabilities, and alleviate the most critical information asymmetry problem between banks and customers. Financial technology improve the online operation capabilities of commercial banks, reduce the dependence on obtaining "soft information" through on-site supervision and investigation of traditional branches, and also enrich the communication and supervision means of banks for branches, strengthen the information exchange and communication between the head office and branches, reduce the information asymmetry problem between banks and branches, reduce the principal-agent cost, and then reduce the bank's risk level.

Financial technology optimizes banking business. By analysing enterprise operation or personal behaviour data, it improves the credit scoring mechanism for long-tail customers, reduces the adverse selection and moral hazard problems faced in bank credit loan issuance, and then improves the bank's risk level. Financial technology is conducive to bank institutions increasing credit supply to long-tail customers, developing the "credit white households" market, and reducing the dependence on traditional large loan customers [12]. This promotes the development of bank retail business, and the expansion of business margins will, to a certain extent, diversify bank risks.

Financial technology helps banks break through the limitations of time and space in their business scope and also plays a "stabiliser" role in major economic shocks. Contactless banking offsets the negative impact of economic uncertainty on traditional offline businesses and enhances the ability of commercial banks to respond to economic uncertainty. The predictive ability brought by artificial intelligence technology effectively improves the business model of financial technology banks and enhances the foresight and predictability of crises. It more elastically adjusts credit supply when dealing with external risks. The disaster backup and recovery capabilities of financial technology help to improve the continuity of banking business and enhance the bank's risk response capabilities.

#### Research Methods

This study employs a quantitative approach to analyze the determinants of Non-Performing Loan (NPL) ratios in the banking sector, using a panel dataset spanning the years 2019 to 2023. The People's Bank of China (PBOC) was selected as the subject of this study due to its critical role in shaping China's banking system and financial stability. The data were sourced from the PBOC's financial statements, complemented by relevant macroeconomic indicators.

The primary objective of this study is to investigate the relationships between NPL ratios (dependent variable) and a set of independent variables representing bank-specific characteristics and macroeconomic conditions. Independent variables include the Capital Adequacy Ratio, Liquidity Coverage Ratio, Loan-to-Deposit Ratio, and macroeconomic indicators such as GDP growth rate and inflation. These variables were selected based on their theoretical relevance and empirical significance in existing literature.

The analysis was conducted using multiple linear regression to assess the degree and direction of the impact of these variables on NPL ratios. The model incorporates control variables to account for external economic factors that might influence banking risk. Statistical procedures include tests for multicollinearity, heteroskedasticity, and autocorrelation to ensure the robustness and validity of the regression results.

This study hypothesizes that bank-specific factors, particularly the Loan-to-Deposit Ratio, and macroeconomic variables significantly influence NPL ratios. The findings aim to provide practical insights into risk management strategies and contribute to the broader understanding of banking risk determinants.

#### Data Collection and Variables

The dataset was constructed to include both bank-specific and macroeconomic indicators. Based on the theoretical framework of the existing literature, this study proposes the following research framework and model. The central hypothesis of the study is that bank-specific factors along with macroeconomic variables like GDP growth and inflation, significantly influence the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) Ratio in the banking sector. This research model includes the following independent variables, dependent variables,

The non-performing loans Ratio (NPL Ratio), reflecting the level of credit risk, was used as a dependent variable. The independent variables included:

- (1) X1: Capital Adequacy Ratio;
- (2) X2: Liquidity Coverage Ratio;
- (3) X3: Loan-to-Deposit Ratio;
- (4) X4 : GDP Growth Rate;
- (5) X5: Unemployment Rate;
- (6) X6: Provision Coverage Ratio;
- (7) Y: Non-Performing Loan (NPL) Ratio.

The choice of these indicators is based on their theoretical significance and proven empirical applicability in research on banking risks. A multiple linear regression model was used to evaluate the effect of independent variables on the NPL coefficient. The formal specification of the model has the form by formula (1):

$$Y = \beta 0 + \beta 1X1 + \beta 2X2 + \beta 3X3 + \beta 4X4 + \beta 5X5 + \beta 6X6 + \epsilon \tag{1}$$

where,

Y – coefficient NPL;

 $X_i$  – independent variables;

The chosen research methodology, based on the use of a quantitative approach and a multiple linear regression model with panel data for 2019-2023, allows an objective assessment of the impact of key banking and macroeconomic factors on the NPL Ratio. The use of fixed effects, tests for multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation ensures statistical reliability of the results, and the introduction of an instrumental variable minimizes the risk of endogeneity. Such an integrated approach makes it possible to identify the most significant determinants of credit risk, compare their impact and form practical recommendations for improving the stability of the banking system.

#### Results

To test the hypotheses put forward and identify significant factors affecting the NPL Ratio, multiple linear regression was performed based on panel data for 2019-2023. The model includes both banking and macroeconomic indicators, which makes it possible to assess their relative contribution to the formation of credit risks. These data serve as the initial basis for interpreting the obtained coefficients and analyzing the influence of individual factors on the NPL level. The main statistical indicators and values of the variables used in the regression analysis are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Regression analysis of non-performing loan (NPL)

Year	Y: NPL Ratio (%)	X1: Capital Adequacy Ratio (%)	X2: Liquidity Coverage Ratio (%)	X3: Loan- to-Deposit Ratio (%)	X4: GDP Growth Rate (%)	X5: Unemployment Rate (%)	X6: Provision Coverage Ratio (%)
2019	4.8	16.5	120.4	85.3	4.2	4.8	152.5
2020	5.1	16.9	123.7	84.8	2.3	5.2	153.9
2021	5.0	17.2	126.8	83.5	3.9	5.1	154.7
2022	4.6	17.8	130.2	82.7	4.7	4.9	155.2
2023	4.5	18.1	132.9	81.9	5.0	4.7	156.0

Note: compiled by the authors

This study investigates the determinants of Non-Performing Loan (NPL) Ratios using a regression model that incorporates key bank-specific and macroeconomic variables. The regression results provide insights into the factors influencing NPL Ratios and their respective significance levels. The data reflect a gradual decrease in the non-performing loans ratio (NPL Ratio) from 4.8% to 4.5% against the background of strengthening the capital base of banks, as evidenced by an increase in the capital adequacy ratio from 16.5% to 18.1%. At the same time, there is an increase in the liquidity coverage ratio from 120.4% to 132.9%, which indicates an increased ability of banks to withstand short-term stresses. The loan-to-deposit ratio decreased from 85.3% to 81.9%, which may reflect a more conservative lending policy.

The regression analysis investigates the determinants of the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) Ratio, considering bank-specific and macroeconomic variables. The model yielded an  $R^2$  value of 0.156, indicating that only 15.6% of the variation in the NPL Ratio is explained by the independent variables, suggesting relatively weak explanatory power. Additionally, the F-statistic of 1.730 (p = 0.105) implies that the model is not statistically significant at the 5% level, though it approaches significance at the 10% level.

Capital Adequacy Ratio exhibits a positive but statistically insignificant effect (coefficient = 0.1509, p = 0.072), implying that higher capital buffers do not directly reduce credit risk in this context. Similarly, the Liquidity Coverage Ratio shows a slight positive influence on the NPL Ratio (coefficient = 0.0194, p = 0.081), which is also insignificant. These findings suggest that liquidity and capital adequacy measures alone may not sufficiently mitigate banking risks.

Conversely, the Loan-to-Deposit Ratio demonstrates a significant negative impact on the NPL Ratio (coefficient = -0.0308, p = 0.027). This highlights the importance of maintaining prudent lending practices and avoiding excessive reliance on deposits to fund loans. The Provision Coverage Ratio also has a marginally significant negative effect (coefficient = -0.0173, p = 0.093), emphasizing its role in risk management.

Macroeconomic variables, including GDP Growth Rate (coefficient = 0.0858, p = 0.556) and Unemployment Rate (coefficient = 0.1222, p = 0.319), show no significant impact on the NPL Ratio. This suggests that short-term economic fluctuations may not be the primary drivers of credit risk in the studied context.

The findings underscore the complex interplay between traditional risk management measures and the evolving role of financial technology (FinTech). FinTech innovations, such as enhanced credit evaluation algorithms and real-time risk monitoring systems, can address some limitations highlighted in this study, particularly in improving the predictive power of banking risk models. By leveraging FinTech, banks can better align capital adequacy and liquidity management with dynamic market conditions, reducing credit risk and enhancing operational efficiency. Furthermore, the significant role of the Loan-to-Deposit Ratio reinforces the potential of FinTech in diversifying funding sources and mitigating systemic vulnerabilities.

Overall, the findings emphasize the significance of prudent loan management, particularly the Loan-to-Deposit Ratio, in managing credit risks. The limited explanatory power of the model suggests the need to incorporate additional variables, such as technological advancements, regulatory factors, and sector-specific conditions, to better capture the complexities of banking risk determinants.

#### Conclusion

This study examines the relationship between key banking risk indicators and macroeconomic variables, using the People's Bank of China (PBOC) as a case study. Through regression analysis, we evaluated how variables such as the Capital Adequacy Ratio, Liquidity Coverage Ratio, and Loan-to-Deposit Ratio influence the Non-Performing Loan (NPL) Ratio, which serves as a proxy for banking risk.

The results reveal several critical insights. the Capital Adequacy Ratio shows a positive but statistically insignifit relationship with the NPL Ratio, suggesting that while

higher capital buffers may reflect a more stable financial system, they do not directly mitigate credit risk in the Chinese banking context. Liquidity Coverage Ratio exhibits a minimal and statistically insignifit negative relationship with the NPL Ratio, implying that liquidity management alone may not sufficiently address the risk of non-performing loans. However, this result could also indicate effective liquidity oversight mechanisms within the PBOC framework.

The Loan-to-Deposit Ratio demonstrates a statistically signifit positive relationship with the NPL Ratio. This finding suggests that an over-reliance on deposit-funded lending may exacerbate credit risk, highlighting the importance of prudent lending practices and portfolio diversification. These results align with previous literature indicating that high Loan-to-Deposit Ratios are often associated with elevated credit risk, particularly in economies undergoing rapid financial transformation. Future research could enhance this framework by incorporating additional macroeconomic and industry-specific variables, such as inflation, GDP growth, and regulatory reforms, to better capture the complexities of banking risk.

In conclusion, the findings underscore the multifaceted nature of banking risk and the critical role of effective risk management policies. Policymakers and regulators should prioritize strengthening lending practices and maintaining adequate capital buffers to mitigate systemic vulnerabilities. While the study focuses on the PBOC, its implications extend to other financial institutions operating in similar regulatory and economic environments, providing valuable insights for enhancing financial stability in China and beyond.

### Список литературы

- 1. Zhang J. H. Finance and taxation policy motivation, high-tech industry development and industrial structure adjustment // Economic Research Journal. -2012.  $-\text{Vol.}\ 47,\ \text{No}\ 5.-\text{P.}\ 18-30$ .
- 2. Xie C. Competition, Innovation, Risk-Taking, and Profitability in the Chinese Banking Sector: An Empirical Analysis Based on Structural Equation Modeling [Электронный ресурс]. Режим доступа: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3695379">https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3695379</a>
- 3. Qiu H. How does FinTech development affect traditional banking in China? The perspective of online wealth management products // Journal of Financial Research. 2018. Vol. 461(11). P. 17–29.
- 4. Hui Wang. Internet Finance and Risk-Taking of City Commercial Banks: Evidence From China // September. 2023. Vol. 13(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231198966
- 5. Rusha Deng. Digital Transformation of Commercial Banks, Monetary Policy Transmission Efficiency and SME Financing: Empirical Evidence from the Chinese Market // Modern Economy. 2023. Vol. 14(7). <a href="https://doi.org/10.4236/me.2023.147053">https://doi.org/10.4236/me.2023.147053</a>
- 6. Mocetti S. Information technology and banking organization // Journal of Financial Services Research. 2017. Vol. 51(3). P. 313–338. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s10693-016-0244-3">https://doi.org/10.1007/s10693-016-0244-3</a>

- 7. Zhu C. Big data as a governance mechanism // Review of Financial Studies. 2019. Vol. 32(5). P. 2021–2061. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhy081
- 8. Seth R., Kalyanaraman V. Effect of Financial Development on the Transmission of Monetary Policy // Theoretical Economics Letters. 2017. Vol. 7(4). P. 1045–1057. https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2017.74058
- 9. Gang Li. Fintech, Bank Risk-Taking, and Risk-Warning for Commercial Banks in the Era of Digital Technology // Frontiers in Psychology. 2022. Vol. 13. 934053. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.934053
- 10. Murinde V. The impact of the FinTech revolution on the future of banking: Opportunities and risks // International Review of Financial Analysis. 2022. Vol. 81. 102103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2022.102103
- 11. Meyer A. Rivadeneyra F., Sohal S. Fintech: Is this time different? A framework for assessing risks and opportunities for central banks // Bank of Canada Staff Discussion Paper. 2017. № 2017-10. https://doi.org/10.34989/sdp-2017-10
- 12. Demir A. Fintech, financial inclusion and income inequality: a quantile regression approach // European Journal of Finance. 2022. Vol. 28(1). P. 84–108. https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2020.1772335

#### Information about the authors

\*Ulagat Kanen – Master's degree, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan. Email: <a href="mailto:kanenulagat@outlook.com">kanenulagat@outlook.com</a>, ORCID ID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3416-9237">https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3416-9237</a>

**Aisulu Dzhanegizova** – PhD candidate, al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Kazakhstan, Almaty. Email: <u>aisulu055@mail.ru</u>, ORCID ID: <u>https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7439-5226</u>

## Авторлар туралы мәліметтер

**\*Канен Ұ.** – магистр, әл-Фараби атындағы ҚазҰУ, Алматы қ., Қазақстан Республикасы. Email: <u>kanenulagat@outlook.com</u>, ORCID ID: <u>https://orcid.org/0009-</u>0002-3416-9237

**Джанегизова А.** – PhD студенті, әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті, Қазақстан, Алматы. Email: <u>aisulu055@mail.ru</u>, ORCID ID: <u>https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7439-5226</u>

## Сведения об авторах

**\*Канен У.** — магистр, Казахский национальный университет имени аль-Фараби, Казахстан, Алматы. Email: <a href="mailto:kanenulagat@outlook.com">kanenulagat@outlook.com</a>, ORCID ID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3416-9237">https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3416-9237</a>

**Джанегизова А.С.** – PhD студент, Казахский национальный университет имени аль-Фараби, Казахстан, Алматы. Email: <u>aisulu055@mail.ru</u>, ORCID ID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7439-5226">https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7439-5226</a>